

Liu, C.-Y. et al. (2018) Pulmonary artery stiffness in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (copd) and emphysema: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) COPD Study. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 47(1), pp. 262-271.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Liu, C.-Y. et al. (2018) Pulmonary artery stiffness in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (copd) and emphysema: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) COPD Study. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 47(1), pp. 262-271.(doi:[10.1002/jmri.25753](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25753))

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/139920/>

Deposited on: 18 April 2017

Abstract

Background: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and particularly emphysema are characterized by stiffness of the aorta, due in part to accelerated elastin degradation in the lungs and aorta. Stiffness of the pulmonary arteries (PA) may also be increased in COPD and emphysema due to loss of elastin but data are lacking. We assessed PA stiffness using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in patients with COPD and relate these measurements to COPD severity and percent emphysema.

Methods and Results: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) COPD Study recruited participants age 50-79 years with 10 or more packyears and free of clinical cardiovascular disease. COPD and COPD severity were defined on post-bronchodilator spirometry by ATS/ERS criteria. Percent emphysema was defined as the percentage of regions of the lung < -950 Hounsfield units on full-lung computed tomography. Strain of the main, right and left PA was defined by the percent change in cross-sectional PA area between systole and diastole on cardiopulmonary MRI. Linear regression was used to adjust for demographics, body size, smoking and cardiac risk factors. Among 290 participants, PA strain was reduced in COPD compared to controls ($P=0.002$) and was inversely correlated with COPD severity ($P=0.004$). PA strain was inversely associated to percent emphysema on CT scan ($P=0.01$). PA strain was also markedly correlated with signs of right ventricular diastolic dysfunction.

Conclusions: PA strain is reduced in COPD, related in part to percent emphysema on CT scan, which may have implications for pulmonary small vessel flow and right ventricular function.

Keywords: MR flowing imaging, Pulmonary artery, Strain, Lung function/COPD

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the third leading cause of death in the United States¹. COPD prevalence and mortality are increasing, particularly among women and minorities². COPD is defined by accelerated, age-related loss in lung function resulting in incompletely reversible airway obstruction. Emphysema partially overlaps with COPD and is defined by loss of lung architecture and parenchyma due, classically, to accelerated degradation of elastin in the lung. Cigarette smoking is the primary cause of COPD and emphysema³⁻⁵ although a minority of smokers develops these disorders.

Various studies have noted that aortic stiffness, mainly assessed by carotid-femoral (aortic) pulse wave velocity using micromanometer applanation tonometry⁶⁻⁸, is abnormal in COPD and particularly in emphysema. Using this method, arterial stiffness was increased in COPD independent of cigarette smoking exposure⁶. The likely mechanism of this relationship is aberrant skin elastin degradation in the aorta⁹.

Pulmonary artery (PA) elasticity is an important factor in smoothing the pulsatile flow from right ventricle (RV) to the steady flow in the smaller pulmonary vessels. Pulmonary vascular wall stiffness is a significant contributor to RV afterload¹⁰ and increased PA stiffness has been documented in pulmonary hypertension using cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI) techniques^{11, 12}. The proximal PA and aorta have similar elastin content between 5th and 9th decades¹³ hence it is likely that PA stiffness is increased in COPD as reported in aortic stiffness; however, no similar examination of the PA stiffness has been made in COPD in a large scale¹⁴, to our knowledge.

We therefore hypothesized that elasticity of the main, right and left PA, measured by the strain (variations of the PA dimensions) using CMRI phase-contrast velocity mapping techniques

^{15, 16}, would be reduced in COPD, inversely associated with percentage of emphysema-like lung (hereafter referred to as percent emphysema) and inversely associated with measures of RV diastolic dysfunction.

Methods

Study Sample

The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) COPD Study enrolled cases of COPD and controls predominantly from two prospective population-based cohort studies, MESA¹⁷ and the EMphysema and Cancer Action Project (EMCAP)¹⁸, who were age 50-79 years old with a 10 or more pack-year smoking history and who did not have clinical cardiovascular disease, stage IIIb-V kidney disease, asthma prior to age 45 years, other lung disease, prior lung resection, cancer, allergy to gadolinium, claustrophobia, metal in the body, pregnancy or weight > 300 lbs. We selected all eligible participants at four sites in the MESA Lung Study,¹⁹ over-sampled participants with COPD or emphysema from the remainder of MESA and from EMCAP, and selected a small number from the general outpatient community.

Protocols were approved by the institutional review boards of the participating institutions and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Spirometry

Spirometry was conducted in accordance with American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) guidelines²⁰ on a dry-rolling-sealed spirometer (Occupational Marketing, Inc., Houston, TX) following the MESA Lung protocol²¹. COPD was defined as a post-bronchodilator ratio of the forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) to the forced vital capacity (FVC) < 0.70 following the ATS/ERS and GOLD definitions²². COPD severity was

classified as: mild, $FEV_1 \geq 80\%$ predicted; moderate, 50-80% predicted; and severe, $FEV_1 < 50\%$ predicted²². Predicted values were calculated using the Hankinson reference equations²³ with a 0.88 correction for Asians²¹.

Percent of Emphysema-Like Lung

All participants underwent full-lung CTs on 64-slice helical scanners following the MESA-Lung/SPIROMICS full-inspiration protocol (0.984 pitch, 0.5 seconds, 120 kVp)²⁴. The milliamperes (mA) for MESA participants was based on body mass index (BMI): 145 for $<20 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 180 for $20\text{-}30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and 270 for $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and was set at 200 for non-MESA participants. Images were reconstructed at 0.625 mm. Image attenuation was assessed using APOLLO software (VIDA Diagnostics, Coralville IA) at a single reading center by trained readers without knowledge of other participant information. Percent emphysema was defined as the percentage of total voxels within the lung field that fell below -950 Hounsfield units.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

All participants were imaged using one of four 1.5T whole-body MRI systems (Signa LX, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin; Avanto or Espree, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with a phased-array coil for signal reception placed around the thorax with anterior and posterior elements selected around the heart. To determine the planes needed for the short axis images, electrocardiogram-gated, cardiac four-chamber and two-chamber view cine steady state free precession sequences were performed. Participants were instructed to hold their breath in expiration during imaging. To measure ventricular function, the entire heart was imaged in short-axis orientation with 12 or more slices. All cine images had an acquired temporal resolution of 48 msec, retrospectively reconstructed as 40 cine frames at 20–35 msec intervals over the cardiac cycle. Left ventricular heart function including myocardial mass,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

volume, stroke volume, cardiac output, and ejection fraction was measured through contouring of the left ventricle.

To determine the planes needed for main PA images, we used an oblique localizer prescribed from axial and coronal images to visualize the natural curvature of the main pulmonary artery. For the main PA strain measurement, an imaging plane above the pulmonary valve but below the pulmonary bifurcation was used. The right PA was imaged in cross-section between the trachea and the ascending aorta. The left PA was prescribed close to the pulmonary trunk to avoid left upper lobe artery. Phase-contrast images were obtained using a segmented fast gradient echo sequence (repetition time 7.5 ms, echo time 3.1 ms, slice thickness 8 mm, matrix 256×256, 2 segments, time per acquired cine frame 30 ms, reconstructed by the retrospective gating software as 30 cardiac phases over the cardiac cycle, two averages, velocity encoding 100 cm/s) without breath hold. Strain of the PA was measured from the largest systolic and the smallest diastolic areas derived from the magnitude images by the following formula, $100 \times (\text{maximum area} - \text{minimum area}) / \text{minimum area}$. Thirty studies were randomly selected for inter-reader variability. The coefficient of variation of main PA strain was 0.06.

The same phase-contrast protocol was used to measure blood flow across the tricuspid and mitral valves for determination of the right and left ventricular filling. The resulting biphasic diastolic inflow pattern consists of two peaks, representing the early filling phase and the atrial contraction. Analysis of the early filling phase and the atrial contraction was performed by calculating their peak filling rates and ratio of the peak filling rates (E/A). All phase-contrast images were analyzed quantitatively using dedicated software (QFLOW 7.2, Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands), and CIM (Cardiac Imaging Modeling, the University of Auckland, New Zealand) for the cardiac function. CMRI readers were blinded from other participant information.

DLco and Plethysmography

Single-breath DLco was measured for a subset of participants with a Sensormedics Autobox 220 Series instrument (Viasys Healthcare, Yorba Linda, CA) following ATS/ERS guidelines²⁵. The average of all acceptable tests (minimum of two tests) was reported. Breath-hold time was assessed by the method of Jones and Meade²⁶.

Body plethysmography was performed for a subset of participants using a V6200 Series Autobox (Sensormedics, Yorba Linda, CA) following ATS/ERS recommendations²⁷. Predicted lung volumes were calculated from Crapo questions for patients under 65 years and Garcia-Rio equations for patients 65 years and older^{28, 29}. Residual lung volume (RLV) was defined as the volume of gas remaining in the lung after maximal exhalation, while total lung capacity (TLC) was defined as the volume of gas in the lungs after maximal inspiration.

Covariates

Age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, pack-years, and medical history were self-reported. Medication use was assessed by medication inventory³⁰. Height, weight and blood pressure were measured following standardized MESA protocols³¹. Participants with a blood cotinine level greater than 25 ng/mL, or a urine level greater than 500 ng/mL or a self-report of current smoking were classified as current smokers. Oxygen saturation was measured by pulse oximeter, off oxygen. Glucose, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels and complete blood counts were measured from blood samples after a twelve-hour fast. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) level was calculated using the Friedewald equation³².

Diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL or a self-report of physician diagnosis. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg or physician diagnosis³³.

Statistical Analysis

The cohort was stratified by the presence and severity of COPD. Initial analyses used multivariable regression to assess the association of cardiovascular risk factors with PA strain adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, height and weight.

For the main analyses, we first used mixed effects models with random slopes and intercepts to examine the relationships of the strain using measurements from all three PAs with COPD endpoints of interest in order to assess overall PA strain and to account for multiple comparisons. If the mixed effects model yielded a statistically significant result, we then examined each PA individually. The base model (model 1) was adjusted for age, gender, height, weight, race/ethnicity and cohort of selection, and the full model (model 2) was additionally adjusted for smoking status, pack-years, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, LDL, HDL and statin use.

Given that the study was recruited based upon COPD status rather than as a cohort study, continuous analyses (e.g., for percent emphysema) were weighted proportionate to the inverse ratio of the sample prevalence to the source study prevalence. COPD cases recruited from the outpatient community were assigned the same weights as cases from EMCAP. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and unadjusted linear regression analysis were used to examine the association between pulmonary strain and diastolic function. Sensitivity analyses were performed without weighting. Statistical significance was defined by a two-tailed P-value<0.05. Analyses were performed in SAS 9.2 (Cary, NC and R version 2.14.1 Vienna, Austria).

Results

The study sample included 290 participants with complete spirometry, CT and main PA MR strain measurements. The mean age was 68.4±6.6 years and 47% had COPD (40% mild,

48% moderate and 12% severe). Fifty nine percent were men. Twenty seven percent smoked currently and the median pack-years was 33. The race/ethnic distribution was 56% Non-Hispanic white, 25% African-American, 13% Hispanic and 6% Chinese-American.

The characteristics of the study sample, stratified by COPD severity, are shown in Table 1. Participants with more severe COPD were more likely to be male, Non-Hispanic white and have greater pack-years.

Pulmonary Artery Strain and Cardiac Risk Factors

Table 2 displays the individual partial correlation coefficient and P value of each parameter in multivariable analysis adjusted for age, sex, race, height, and weight. Strain of all PA was significantly decreased in current smokers. Higher DBP was inversely correlated to main PA strain and diabetes was associated with left PA strain.

Pulmonary Artery Strain and COPD

PA strain was reduced in COPD of all categories compared to controls in the fully adjusted mixed model ($P=0.002$). Strain of the main PA was reduced in COPD compared to controls in the fully adjusted model (mean difference, -1.59%; 95% CI -3.10, -0.08; $P=0.04$), as was strain of the right and left PA ($P=0.01$ and $P<0.001$), respectively.

PA strain was also reduced across categories of COPD severity in the fully adjusted mixed model ($P=0.004$). The association of COPD severity and main PA strain was slightly attenuated in the full model but those for right and left PA strain were robust (Table 3).

Pulmonary Strain and Percent Emphysema

PA strain was inversely related to percent emphysema in the fully adjusted mixed model ($P=0.01$). The significance of this association varied somewhat by vessel (Table 4). Figure 1 shows the relationship of the continuous measures of percent emphysema and right PA strain in

the fully adjusted model. Right PA strain decreased quickly and plateaued as percent emphysema increased.

PA Strain and Measures of Diastolic Dysfunction

Diastolic function assessed by ventricular flow E/A ratio was performed in a subset of 194 subjects. PA strain was significantly related to measures of RV and LV diastolic function in the univariable analyses. Pearson correlations between main, right and left PA strain and RV E/A ratio were 0.67, 0.45 and 0.36, respectively (all $P < 0.001$). Figure 2 demonstrates a significant positive correlation between main PA strain and RV E/A ratio. Similar correlations also existed between main, right and left PA strain and LV E/A ratio (Pearson correlation $r = 0.37, 0.45$ and 0.25 , respectively, all $P < 0.001$). However, only RV E/A ratio was significantly related to PA distensibility in the fully adjusted mixed model ($P = 0.02$).

Both RV and LV E/A ratios in COPD were impaired compared to controls, but these differences did not attain statistical significance.

Pulmonary Strain and Hyperinflation

In contrast to findings for COPD and percent emphysema, there was little evidence for an association between PA strain with diffusing capacity or measures of pulmonary hyperinflation in the subset with available measures (Supplemental Table 1).

Sensitivity Analyses

Similar associations for strain and COPD were observed in analyses additionally adjusted for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, LV stroke volume, LV end diastolic volume and mass, white blood cell (WBC) count and cardiac output (Figure 3). The results also did not change after restriction of the sample to MESA and EMCAP cohorts, former smokers, white participants, and those without diabetes or sleep apnea. The association between right PA strain

and COPD was greater among participants with hypertension and attenuated among participants without hypertension (interaction P-value=0.02), although additional adjustment systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure (in addition to hypertension) had no impact on the results (Figure 3).

Discussion

In this relatively large MRI study of predominantly mild-moderate COPD, PA strain was independently reduced in COPD and was inversely associated with severity of COPD and percent emphysema on CT scan. These findings are important to cardiopulmonary function as PA stiffening affects pulmonary blood flow³⁴, reduces functional capacity³⁵, and is a significant contributor to increased right ventricular afterload.¹⁰

In a study by Vonk-Noordegraaf et al.¹⁴, right PA strain was examined in 25 COPD patients with mild hypoxemia, and no difference was found compared to age-matched controls. However, our results are consistent with prior work in pulmonary arterial hypertension, which demonstrated similar findings^{12, 16, 22, 36}. Using MRI methods, Sanz et al.¹² showed increased PA stiffness in patients with pulmonary hypertension at rest in comparison with those with exercise-induced or no pulmonary hypertension. Another study by Lau and co-workers³⁶ also reported increased stiffness in the proximal elastic PA in patients with pulmonary hypertension using intravascular ultrasound.

Our findings are also consistent with several prior studies of aortic stiffness in COPD. Mills et al.⁸ reported that patients with COPD had increased arterial stiffness compared with matched controls assessed as elevated augmentation pressures and a reduced time to wave reflection. McAllister et al.⁷ showed that arterial stiffness was increased with emphysema

1
2
3 severity in patients with COPD. On the other hand, there was no association between proximal
4
5 aortic strain measured by MRI phase contrast images and pulmonary function or percent
6
7 emphysema in the larger MESA Lung Study³⁷.
8
9

10 A likely mechanism underlying both PA and aortic stiffness in COPD and emphysema is
11
12 loss of elastin in the lungs and the major vessels. Abnormal elastin degradation is clearly
13
14 described in emphysema pathogenesis^{38,39} and systematic elastin degradation outside of the lung
15
16 in COPD was demonstrated by Maclay et al.,⁹ who showed enhanced skin elastin degradation in
17
18 COPD patients compared with controls and was in association with emphysema severity and
19
20 carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity. Other COPD-related effects on the vasculature are also
21
22 possible, including systematic inflammation, which is elevated in COPD⁴⁰ and correlates with
23
24 aortic pulse wave velocity⁴¹.
25
26
27
28

29 PA strain was quite highly correlated with RV E/A ratio, which suggests that PA stiffness
30
31 may contribute to RV diastolic dysfunction in COPD. Similar observations have been observed
32
33 for aortic compliance and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in a community-based cohort
34
35 study⁴², in type 2 diabetes mellitus⁴³, and in hypertensive hearts⁴⁴. Vascular stiffness expresses
36
37 opposition to steady flow. However, for the complete description of the RV-PA interaction,
38
39 pulsatile properties, which are expressed by PA input impedance spectrum, must be taken into
40
41 account. Characteristic PA input impedance was elevated in pulmonary hypertension and cor
42
43 pulmonale patients^{4, 15, 45}. Reduced pulmonary strain increases the impedance to outflow from the
44
45 right ventricle and contribute as much as 30% to 40% of the increase in load to which the right
46
47 ventricle is exposed⁴⁶. It has been hypothesized that this increase in stiffness, which is associated
48
49 with vascular remodeling in the proximal vessel, might be initiated by endothelial dysfunction in
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 COPD⁴⁷ and leads to obstruction of the delivery of blood flow from right ventricle and ultimately
4
5 results in a loss of pulmonary flow during diastole.
6
7

8 An unexpected finding was that the association of COPD with right PA distensibility was
9
10 modified by hypertension. This was not explained by a possible treatment effect of
11
12 antihypertensive drugs (data not shown) and may be a false positive finding. Possible
13
14 explanations include hypertension being a marker for stiffness of the entire vascular system, with
15
16 increased susceptibility to PA stiffness, endothelial dysfunction affecting both the systemic and
17
18 pulmonary vasculature, or transmitted increased LV afterload. The last of these seems unlikely
19
20 given the lack of change with adjustment for LV parameters.
21
22
23

24 The major limitation of this study is that cardiac catheterization was not performed in
25
26 these patients. However, we did not expect participants with severe pulmonary hypertension in
27
28 this study because patients who have clinical cardiovascular disease were excluded in the
29
30 recruitment. Even though a large number of participants were examined in the study, the sample
31
32 size of severe COPD was relatively small; hence, it is possible (although in our opinion unlikely)
33
34 that the results may not apply to very severe COPD.
35
36
37

38 Results were generally consistent for main, right and left PA but were weaker and more
39
40 marginal for the main PA. This is likely due to greater variability in the assessment of the main
41
42 PA, the tortuous course of which makes standardization of reading more challenging.
43
44
45

46 We conclude that patients with COPD but without overt cardiovascular disease show
47
48 increased PA stiffness, which is also related to percent emphysema on CT scan. The functional
49
50 implications of this finding relate to RV load, and pulmonary strain was strongly related to the
51
52 RV diastolic function.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Heron M. Deaths: Leading causes for 2008. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2012;60:1-94
2. Rycroft CE, Heyes A, Lanza L, Becker K. Epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A literature review. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2012;7:457-494
3. Donohue KM, Hoffman EA, Baumhauer H, Guo J, Budoff M, Austin JH, Kalhan R, Kawut S, Tracy R, Graham Barr R. Cigarette smoking and airway wall thickness on ct scan in a multi-ethnic cohort: The mesa lung study. *Respir Med*. 2012
4. Pauwels RA, Buist AS, Calverley PM, Jenkins CR, Hurd SS. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Nhlbi/who global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease (gold) workshop summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2001;163:1256-1276
5. Pelegrino NR, Tanni SE, Amaral RA, Angeleli AY, Correa C, Godoy I. Effects of active smoking on airway and systemic inflammation profiles in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Med Sci*. 2012
6. Maclay JD, McAllister DA, Mills NL, Paterson FP, Ludlam CA, Drost EM, Newby DE, Macnee W. Vascular dysfunction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2009;180:513-520
7. McAllister DA, Maclay JD, Mills NL, Mair G, Miller J, Anderson D, Newby DE, Murchison JT, Macnee W. Arterial stiffness is independently associated with emphysema severity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;176:1208-1214

- 1
2
3 8. Mills NL, Miller JJ, Anand A, Robinson SD, Frazer GA, Anderson D, Breen L,
4
5 Wilkinson IB, McEniery CM, Donaldson K, Newby DE, Macnee W. Increased arterial
6
7 stiffness in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A mechanism for
8
9 increased cardiovascular risk. *Thorax*. 2008;63:306-311
10
11
- 12 9. Maclay JD, McAllister DA, Rabinovich R, Haq I, Maxwell S, Hartland S, Connell M,
13
14 Murchison JT, van Beek EJ, Gray RD, Mills NL, Macnee W. Systemic elastin
15
16 degradation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2012;67:606-612
17
18
- 19 10. Wang Z, Chesler NC. Pulmonary vascular wall stiffness: An important contributor to the
20
21 increased right ventricular afterload with pulmonary hypertension. *Pulm Circ*.
22
23 2011;1:212-223
24
25
- 26 11. Laffon E, Laurent F, Bernard V, De Boucaud L, Ducassou D, Marthan R. Noninvasive
27
28 assessment of pulmonary arterial hypertension by mr phase-mapping method. *J Appl*
29
30 *Physiol*. 2001;90:2197-2202
31
32
- 33 12. Sanz J, Kariisa M, Dellegrottaglie S, Prat-Gonzalez S, Garcia MJ, Fuster V, Rajagopalan
34
35 S. Evaluation of pulmonary artery stiffness in pulmonary hypertension with cardiac
36
37 magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2:286-295
38
39
- 40 13. Hosoda Y, Kawano K, Yamasawa F, Ishii T, Shibata T, Inayama S. Age-dependent
41
42 changes of collagen and elastin content in human aorta and pulmonary artery. *Angiology*.
43
44 1984;35:615-621
45
46
- 47 14. Vonk-Noordegraaf A, Marcus JT, Holverda S, Roseboom B, Postmus PE. Early changes
48
49 of cardiac structure and function in copd patients with mild hypoxemia. *Chest*.
50
51 2005;127:1898-1903
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

15. Lotz J, Meier C, Leppert A, Galanski M. Cardiovascular flow measurement with phase-contrast mr imaging: Basic facts and implementation. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc.* 2002;22:651-671

16. Malayeri AA, Natori S, Bahrami H, Bertoni AG, Kronmal R, Lima JA, Bluemke DA. Relation of aortic wall thickness and distensibility to cardiovascular risk factors (from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis [mesa]). *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;102:491-496

17. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, Greenland P, Jacob DR, Jr., Kronmal R, Liu K, Nelson JC, O'Leary D, Saad MF, Shea S, Szklo M, Tracy RP. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: Objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2002;156:871-881

18. Mesia-Vela S, Yeh CC, Austin JH, Dounel M, Powell CA, Reeves A, Santella RM, Stevenson L, Yankelevitz D, Barr RG. Plasma carbonyls do not correlate with lung function or computed tomography measures of lung density in older smokers. *Biomarkers.* 2008;13:422-434

19. Rodriguez J, Jiang R, Johnson WC, MacKenzie BA, Smith LJ, Barr RG. The association of pipe and cigar use with cotinine levels, lung function, and airflow obstruction: A cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2010;152:201-210

20. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, Jensen R, Johnson DC, MacIntyre N, McKay R, Navajas D, Pedersen OF, Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Wanger J. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J.* 2005;26:319-338

21. Hankinson JL, Kawut SM, Shahar E, Smith LJ, Stukovsky KH, Barr RG. Performance of american thoracic society-recommended spirometry reference values in a multiethnic

- sample of adults: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (mesa) lung study. *Chest*. 2010;137:138-145
22. Celli BR, MacNee W. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with copd: A summary of the ats/ers position paper. *Eur Respir J*. 2004;23:932-946
23. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general u.S. Population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1999;159:179-187
24. Sieren JP, Hoffman EA, Baumhauer H, Barr RG, Goldin JG, Rennard S. Ct imaging protocol standardization for use in a multicenter study: Spiromics. *Radiological Society of North America*. 2011
25. Macintyre N, Crapo RO, Viegi G, Johnson DC, van der Grinten CP, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Enright P, Gustafsson P, Hankinson J, Jensen R, McKay R, Miller MR, Navajas D, Pedersen OF, Pellegrino R, Wanger J. Standardisation of the single-breath determination of carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26:720-735
26. Jones RS, Meade F. A theoretical and experimental analysis of anomalies in the estimation of pulmonary diffusing capacity by the single breath method. *Q J Exp Physiol Cogn Med Sci*. 1961;46:131-143
27. Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, Pedersen OF, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, Hankinson J, Jensen R, Johnson D, Macintyre N, McKay R, Miller MR, Navajas D, Pellegrino R, Viegi G. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26:511-522
28. Crapo RO, Morris AH, Clayton PD, Nixon CR. Lung volumes in healthy nonsmoking adults. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir*. 1982;18:419-425

29. Garcia-Rio F, Dorgham A, Pino JM, Villasante C, Garcia-Quero C, Alvarez-Sala R. Lung volume reference values for women and men 65 to 85 years of age. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2009;180:1083-1091

30. Psaty BM, Lee M, Savage PJ, Rutan GH, German PS, Lyles M. Assessing the use of medications in the elderly: Methods and initial experience in the cardiovascular health study. The cardiovascular health study collaborative research group. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1992;45:683-692

31. Exam 5 field center procedures manual of operations. Mesa - nhlbi, 2010. (accessed june 1, 2012, at http://www.Mesa-nhlbi.Org/publicdocs/2011/mesae5_mopjanuary2011.Pdf.).

32. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18:499-502

33. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr., Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT, Jr., Roccella EJ. The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure: The jnc 7 report. *JAMA.* 2003;289:2560-2572

34. Sanz J, Kuschnir P, Rius T, Salguero R, Sulica R, Einstein AJ, Dellegrottaglie S, Fuster V, Rajagopalan S, Poon M. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: Noninvasive detection with phase-contrast mr imaging. *Radiology.* 2007;243:70-79

35. Kang KW, Chang HJ, Kim YJ, Choi BW, Lee HS, Yang WI, Shim CY, Ha J, Chung N. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging-derived pulmonary artery distensibility index correlates with pulmonary artery stiffness and predicts functional capacity in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Circ J.* 2011;75:2244-2251

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
36. Lau EM, Iyer N, Ilsar R, Bailey BP, Adams MR, Celermajer DS. Abnormal pulmonary artery stiffness in pulmonary arterial hypertension: In vivo study with intravascular ultrasound. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e33331
37. McAllister DA, MacNee W, Duprez D, Hoffman EA, Vogel-Claussen J, Criqui MH, Budoff M, Jiang R, Bluemke DA, Barr RG. Pulmonary function is associated with distal aortic calcium, not proximal aortic distensibility. Mesa lung study. *COPD*. 2011;8:71-78
38. Hamakawa H, Bartolak-Suki E, Parameswaran H, Majumdar A, Lutchen KR, Suki B. Structure-function relations in an elastase-induced mouse model of emphysema. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. 2011;45:517-524
39. Suki B, Jesudason R, Sato S, Parameswaran H, Araujo AD, Majumdar A, Allen PG, Bartolak-Suki E. Mechanical failure, stress redistribution, elastase activity and binding site availability on elastin during the progression of emphysema. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther*. 2012;25:268-275
40. Eickhoff P, Valipour A, Kiss D, Schreder M, Cekici L, Geyer K, Kohansal R, Burghuber OC. Determinants of systemic vascular function in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008;178:1211-1218
41. Sabit R, Bolton CE, Edwards PH, Pettit RJ, Evans WD, McEniery CM, Wilkinson IB, Cockcroft JR, Shale DJ. Arterial stiffness and osteoporosis in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;175:1259-1265
42. Russo C, Jin Z, Palmieri V, Homma S, Rundek T, Elkind MS, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR. Arterial stiffness and wave reflection: Sex differences and relationship with left ventricular diastolic function. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:362-368

43. Sharman JE, Haluska BA, Fang ZY, Prins JB, Marwick TH. Association of arterial wave properties and diastolic dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;99:844-848

44. Agoston-Coldea L, Mocan T, Bobar C. Arterial stiffness and left ventricular diastolic function in the patients with hypertension. *Rom J Intern Med.* 2008;46:313-321

45. Pauwels RA, Buist AS, Ma P, Jenkins CR, Hurd SS. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: National heart, lung, and blood institute and world health organization global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease (gold): Executive summary. *Respiratory care.* 2001;46:798-825

46. Reeves JT, Linehan JH, Stenmark KR. Distensibility of the normal human lung circulation during exercise. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* 2005;288:L419-425

47. Peinado VI, Ramirez J, Roca J, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Barbera JA. Identification of vascular progenitor cells in pulmonary arteries of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2006;34:257-263

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Multivariable relationship between right pulmonary artery strain and percent emphysema

Tick marks above the x-axis represent observed emphysema measures. The predicted change in PA strain is represented by the dark line and was obtained from a smoothed regression model adjusted for age, race, gender, height, weight, cohort, smoking status, pack years, educational attainment, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, LDL, HDL, statin use and high mAs.

Figure 2. Main pulmonary artery strain and E/A ratio of the right ventricle.

Main PA strain was significantly correlated to RV E/A ratio.

Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis of right pulmonary artery strain with COPD status.

Predicted mean difference in base model adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, cohort, smoking status, pack-years, height, weight, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, white blood cell count, sleep apnea, HDL and statin use. Inclusion of co-variate, population subset, or dependent variable indicated.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of participants in the MESA COPD Study with main strain stratified by COPD severity.

	Controls (n=155)	COPD		
		Mild (n=54)	Moderate (n=65)	Severe/Very Severe (n=16)
Age, mean±SD, years	67.95±6.55	70.15±6.25	67.80±7.36	68.75±5.90
Sex, male, No. (%)	83 (53.55)	41 (75.93)	38 (58.46)	10 (62.50)
Race/ethnicity				
White, No. (%)	74 (47.74)	37 (68.52)	39 (60.00)	12 (75.00)
African American, No. (%)	36 (23.23)	12 (22.22)	19 (29.23)	4 (25.00)
Other, No. (%)	45 (29.03)	5 (9.26)	7 (10.77)	0 (0.0)
Educational attainment				
≤ High school degree, No. (%)	39 (25.16)	11 (20.37)	15 (23.08)	3 (18.75)
Some college/Assoc. degree/ Vocational school, No. (%)	52 (33.55)	12 (22.22)	14 (21.54)	5 (31.25)
≥ College degree, No. (%)	64 (41.29)	31 (57.41)	36 (55.38)	8 (50.00)
Height, mean±SD, cm	167.11±9.52	172.18±7.66	170.37±9.64	169.61±11.52
Weight, mean±SD, kg	80.39±16.97	79.33±15.25	79.73±18.38	80.70±24.85
Body mass index, mean±SD, kg/m ²	28.66±4.96	26.63±3.98	27.29±5.09	27.56±6.21

Cigarette smoking status				
Former, No. (%)	121 (78.06)	41 (75.93)	39 (60.00)	12 (75.00)
Current, No. (%)	34 (21.94)	13 (24.07)	26 (40.00)	4 (25.00)
Pack-years of smoking, median (IQR)	27.0 (17.7, 43.5)	34.3 (25.0, 60.0)	39.6 (25.5, 51.8)	46.3 (22.5, 62.3)
LDL, mean±SD, mg/dL	109.93±32.05	104.15±30.84	96.71±29.20	100.31±41.82
HDL, mean±SD, mg/dL	54.84±17.15	58.57±17.62	59.14±19.64	54.56±20.98
Statin, No. (%)	52 (33.55)	25 (46.30)	31 (47.69)	5 (31.25)
Systolic blood pressure, mean±SD, mmHg	119.91±18.73	120.49±16.66	123.78±16.30	128.59±12.89
Diastolic blood pressure, mean±SD, mmHg	69.61±9.36	70.30±9.06	71.61±8.99	74.84±8.82
Hypertension, No. (%)	65 (41.94)	23 (42.59)	32 (49.69)	7 (43.75)
Fasting Plasma Glucose, median (IQR),mg/dL	96 (91.0, 105.0)	96 (88.0, 107.0)	100 (93.0, 105.0)	93 (83.5, 107.0)
Diabetes Mellitus, No. (%)	24 (15.48)	5 (9.26)	9 (13.85)	4 (25.00)
FEV ₁ percent of predicted, mean±SD	101.26±15.64	92.15±9.61	68.58±8.29	39.56±7.54
FVC percent of predicted, mean±SD	98.11±15.14	107.25±12.62	90.70±12.93	73.78±14.86
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio, mean±SD, %	0.78±0.05	0.64±0.05	0.58±0.09	0.40±0.08
DLco % predicted, mean±SD, %, n=106	68.15±10.62	62.77±11.27	54.45±14.50	40.90±10.48
DLco VA % predicted, mean±SD, %, n=106	79.42±13.11	67.76±10.63	68.79±19.46	61.07±15.40
RLV % predicted, mean±SD, %, n=106	71.33±18.05	81.47±17.78	97.64±29.57	138.01±36

RLV/TLC ratio, mean±SD, %, n=106	0.32±0.07	0.30±0.06	0.39±0.08	0.52±0.08
Percent Emphysema ₉₅₀ , median (IQR)	1.26 (0.59, 2.85)	3.36 (1.84, 8.18)	3.50 (1.33, 7.93)	16.56 (7.15, 24.80)
Oxygenation Saturation, mean±SD, %	99.94±49.43	95.90±4.70	96.16±3.85	95.90±2.03
Sleep Apnea, self-reported, No (%)	10 (6.45)	2 (3.70)	7 (10.77)	3 (18.75)
Left Ventricle E/A ratio, mean±SD, n=194	0.93±0.28	0.88±0.29	0.92±0.41	0.88±0.26
Right Ventricle E/A ratio, mean±SD, n=194	0.83±0.39	0.81±0.34	0.75±0.29	0.73±0.33

Table 2. The association of cardiac risk factors and pulmonary artery (PA) strain in the MESA COPD Study.

	Strain (%) [*]		
	Main PA	Right PA	Left PA
Smoking status	-0.182 (0.002)	-0.216 (< 0.001)	-0.216 (< 0.001)
Diabetes	-0.061 (0.30)	-0.087 (0.16)	-0.166 (0.007)
Fasting Plasma Glucose	-0.069 (0.25)	-0.084 (0.18)	-0.125 (0.043)
Hypertension	0.067 (0.26)	-0.062 (0.32)	-0.052 (0.40)
Systolic blood pressure	-0.053 (0.37)	-0.036 (0.56)	-0.009 (0.88)
Diastolic blood pressure	-0.159 (0.008)	-0.139 (0.02)	0.068 (0.27)
Hypercholesterolemia	-0.030 (0.62)	-0.054 (0.38)	0.012 (0.84)
Low Density Lipoprotein	0.011 (0.86)	-0.059 (0.34)	0.015 (0.81)
High Density Lipoprotein	0.055 (0.36)	0.074 (0.23)	0.76 (0.22)

* Partial coefficients (P-value, bold for P<0.05) from correlation coefficients adjusted for age, sex, race, height, and weight.

Table 3. Predicted mean difference of pulmonary artery strain in the MESA COPD Study by COPD severity.

	Controls	Mild	Moderate	Severe	P-Trend
	n=155	n=54	n=65	n=16	
Strain of main PA, %					
Model 1, mean difference	Reference	-1.49	-1.44	-2.78	0.04
Model 1, predicted mean	15.41	13.92	13.97	12.63	
Model 2, mean difference	Reference	-1.48	-1.44	-2.56	0.08
Model 2, predicted mean	15.40	13.92	13.96	12.84	
	n=143	n=50	n=62	n=14	
Strain of right PA, %					
Model 1, mean difference	Reference	-0.58	-3.30*	-3.83	0.005
Model 1, predicted mean	20.59	20.01	17.29	16.76	
Model 2, mean difference	Reference	-0.93	-3.62*	-3.85	0.01
Model 2, predicted mean	20.67	19.74	17.05	16.82	
Strain of left PA, %					
Model 1, mean difference	Reference	-4.17*	-3.34*	-4.08	0.002
Model 1, predicted mean	18.26	14.09	10.75	14.18	
Model 2, mean difference	Reference	-4.63*	-3.33*	-2.66	0.003
Model 2, predicted mean	18.25	13.62	14.92	15.59	

*p < 0.05
Model 1 adjusted for age, race, gender, height, weight, and cohort
Model 2 adjusted for variables in model 2 in addition to smoking status, pack years, educational attainment, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, LDL, HDL and statin use.

Table 4. Predicted mean levels of pulmonary artery strain in the MESA COPD Study by percent emphysema.

	Percent Emphysema					Difference per log increase in percent emphysema [95% CI]	P-value
	quintile 1 (n=58)	quintile 2 (n=56)	quintile 3 (n=58)	quintile 4 (n=57)	quintile 5 (n=58)		
Strain of main PA							
Model 1,* predicted value	15.40	14.92	14.63	14.34	13.86	-0.44 (-1.13, 0.25)	0.21
Model 2,†	16.03 (n=53)	15.29 (n=53)	14.86 (n=53)	14.41 (n=54)	13.68 (n=53)	-0.67 (-1.33, -0.01)	0.049
Strain of right PA							
Model 1, predicted value	23.01	22.00	21.40	20.77	19.77	-0.92 (-1.92, 0.098)	0.07
Model 2	21.84	20.54	19.77	18.97	17.69	-1.18 (-2.50, -0.26)	0.01
Strain of left PA							
Model 1, predicted value	19.47	19.16	18.98	18.79	18.49	-0.28 (-1.18, 0.63)	0.55
Model 2	18.35	17.65	17.23	16.80	16.10	-0.64 (-1.51, 0.24)	0.15

Model 1 adjusted for age, race, gender, height, weight, and cohort

Model 2 adjusted for variables in model 2 in addition to smoking status, pack years, educational attainment, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, LDL, HDL, statin use and mAs.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Multivariable relationship between right pulmonary artery strain and percent emphysema. Tick marks above the x-axis represent observed emphysema measures. The predicted change in PA strain is represented by the dark line and was obtained from a smoothed regression model adjusted for age, race, gender, height, weight, cohort, smoking status, pack years, educational attainment, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, LDL, HDL, statin use and high mAs.

38x19mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Figure 2. Main pulmonary artery strain and E/A ratio of the right ventricle. Main PA strain was significantly correlated to RV E/A ratio.

41x33mm (600 x 600 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis of right pulmonary artery strain with COPD status. Predicted mean difference in base model adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, cohort, smoking status, pack-years, height, weight, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, oxygen saturation, white blood cell count, sleep apnea, HDL and statin use. Inclusion of co-variate, population subset, or dependent variable indicated.

80x63mm (300 x 300 DPI)